

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M.H.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/815,788		04/02/2004	Dong-Gyu Kim	6192.0368.US	9930	_
32605	32605 7590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER			
		VOK CHEN & HI DRIVE, SUITE 22	LOUIE, WAI SING			
SAN JOSE,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_	
,	,					_

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/815,788	KIM, DONG-GYU				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wai-Sing Louie	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	ay 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🛛	5) Claim(s) 14-20 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>8-13 and 24</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-33 of copending Application No.

11/180,989. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

With regard to claim 1, S/N 11/180,989 discloses a thin film transistor array panel comprising:

- a gate line formed on an insulating substrate (claim 1);
- a gate insulating layer on the gate line (claim 1);
- a semiconductor layer on the gate insulating layer (claim 1);

• a data line formed on the gate insulating layer (claim 1);

- a drain electrode formed at least in part on the semiconductor layer (claim 1);
- a color filter formed on the data line and the drain electrode (claim 13);
- a passivation layer formed on the color filter (claim 24);
- a pixel electrode formed on the color filter and connected to the drain electrode (claim 24), the pixel electrode comprising a first part formed on a side of the passivation layer, the pixel electrode comprising a second part contacting the color filter (claim 24).

With regard to claim 2, S/N 11/180,989 discloses the limitations in claim 1.

With regard to claim 3, S/N 11/180,989 discloses the limitations in claim 1.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 4-7 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-33 of copending Application No. 11/180,989 in view of Kim et al. (US 6,784,965).

With regard to claim 4, S/N 11/180,989 does not disclose the data line includes a pair of rectilinear portions connected to each other and making an angle of about 45° with respect to the gate line. However, Kim et al. disclose the data line includes a pair of rectilinear portions connected to each other and making an angle of about 45° with respect to the gate line. (Kim col. 2, lines 14-20 and fig. 9a). Kim et al. teach the liquid crystal molecules are twisted as to align the

Application/Control Number: 10/815,788 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

long axes in the direction of the electric field and the angular data line would align the liquid crystal molecules (Kim col. 2, lines 26-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of S/N 11/180,989 with the teaching of Kim et al. to form an angular data line in order to align the liquid crystal molecules.

With regard to claim 5, S/N 11/180,989 modified by Kim et al. disclose a storage electrode 149 formed on the substrate and including an expansion overlapping the pixel electrode 167 to form a storage capacitor (Kim col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 1 fig. 11).

With regard to claim 6, S/N 11/180,989 modified by Kim et al. disclose the drain electrode 145 includes an expansion overlapping the expansion of the storage electrode line 165 (Kim fig. 11).

With regard to claim 7, S/N 11/180,989 modified by Kim et al. disclose the passivation layer 151 comprises an inorganic insulator (Kim col. 6, lines 33-39).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 8-13 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. Claims 14-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/815,788 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest either in singularly or in combination the following limitations and other elements in the claims:

....

References S/N 11/180,989 and Kim et al. do not disclose:

• The passivation layer comprises photosensitive material.

Another passivation layer disposed between the color filter.

• A contact assistant is made of the same material as the pixel electrode.

• The entire bottom surfaces of the data line and the drain electrode are disposed

substantially on the semiconductor layer, the data line and the drain electrode

have substantially the same planar shape as the semiconductor layer, and the

semiconductor layer includes a portion that is not covered with the data line and

the drain electrode and disposed between the source electrode and the drain

electrode.

Therefore, the above references do not disclose the claimed invention of present

application and claims 8-13, 14-20, and 24 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is (571) 272-1709. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wai-Sing Louie Patent Examiner

Wsl August 6, 2006.